

vai

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.4602 OF 2018

Mumbai Medar (Burud) Samaj	...Petitioner
V/s.	
State of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

Ms.Vaishali Balasubramanian i/b Mr.Sanjeev P. Kadam for the
Petitioner.

Mr.R.S. Pawar, AGP for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 – State.

CORAM : R.D. DHANUKA &
V.G. BISHT, JJ.
DATE : 11TH FEBRUARY, 2021.

P.C. :-

1. Learned AGP for the respondents states that the writ petition filed by the petitioner may be treated as representation and can be considered by the respondents 12 weeks from today. Statement is accepted. It is ordered accordingly.
2. In view of the statement made by the learned AGP, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the petition.
3. The writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn in view of the statement made by the learned AGP. If any adverse order is passed by the respondents against the petitioner, the petitioner would be at liberty to file appropriate proceedings.

4. The order that would be passed by the respondents shall be conveyed to the petitioners within one week from the date of passing of the said order.

5. There shall be no order as to costs.

(V.G. BISHT, J.)

(R.D. DHANUKA, J.)