

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 62 OF 2020

Ranjanaben Anilbhai Patel & Anr. Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 521 OF 2020**

Darshana Kishorben Patel Intervenor.

In the matter of:

Ranjanaben Anilbhai Patel & Anr. Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra Respondent

Mr. Prashant P. Raul i/b. Vrushabh Savla for Applicant.
Mr. Ashok Kumar Debey i/b. SAVJ Law Solutions for Intervenor.
Smt. M. R. Tidke, APP for State/Respondent.

CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.
DATE : 29th JULY, 2021
(Through Video Conferencing)

P.C. :

1. This is an application for anticipatory bail in connection with C.R.No. I 119 of 2019 registered at Andheri (E) Police Station, Mumbai, under sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471

and 114 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC').

2. At the outset, learned APP states that, after investigation, 'B' summary report is filed in the Magistrate's court. A copy of the letter addressed to the public prosecutor of this court is tendered before the court. It is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. Learned APP, based on this letter and also on instructions makes a statement that the Investigating agency does not want to arrest the applicants in this case. The statement is accepted and recorded.

3. In view of this statement, learned counsel for the applicants does not press this application.

4. Considering this situation, the application is disposed of as not pressed.

5. In view of disposal of anticipatory bail application, intervention application does not survive and it is also disposed of.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)