



applications were allowed vide separate orders dated 12-2-2016. Learned counsel for applicant submitted that serious allegations were made against them. Custodial interrogation of the accused was necessary. The order granting anticipatory bail was erroneous.

4. Undisputedly pursuant to the order granting anticipatory bail, investigation was completed and charge sheet is filed. The proceedings are numbered as CC No.281 of 2016 and same are pending before concerned Court. I have perused the orders dated 12-2-2016. Learned Judge has analyzed the factual aspects and by assigning reasons the applications were allowed on certain conditions vide order dated 12-2-2016. Charge sheet is already filed against accused. The dispute is on account of matrimonial discord between parties. Complainant has also initiated proceedings under Domestic Violence Act. Considering these aspects, there is no reason to interfere in the impugned order granting anticipatory bail to respondent no.1 in both these applications. Accordingly, both the Criminal Applications are rejected. Trial is expedited.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)

MST