

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.686 OF 2021

- 1) Arjun Jagaru Saroj;
- 2) Rajay Gangabaksh Singh; and
- 3) Vinod Vishnu Patil .. Applicants

Versus

State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

.....

Mr.Praveen L. Singh, Advocate for the Applicants.
Mr.A.R. Kapadnis, APP for the Respondent – State.
Mr.Kiran N. Mhatre, P.N., Valiv Police Station, present.

.....

CORAM : PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.

DATED : APRIL 1st, 2021.

P.C. :

This is an application for anticipatory bail in connection with C.R.No.163 of 2021, registered with Valiv Police Station, for the offences punishable under Section 407 read with 34 of Indian Penal Code.

2 The case of the prosecution is that iron rods were entrusted to the co-accused Radhesham Ramlakhan. The goods were transported through the vehicle. In between, the iron rods

were offloaded by Radhesham. It is the case of the prosecution that the applicants were involved in offloading and aiding and abetting the co-accused in commission of the offence.

3 Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that their custodial interrogation of applicants not necessary. Applicant no.1 is already arrested. Hence, his application has become infructuous. Entrustment of goods were made by the co-accused. The goods are already seized. The applicant need not be subjected to custodial interrogation.

4 On perusal of FIR and the investigation conducted by the investigating officer, it is apparent that applicant nos.2 and 3 have participated in offence. Apart from the iron rods which were entrusted to the complainant, it was found that huge quantity of iron rods were also recovered from the place where the iron rods were offloaded by the co-accused. In the circumstances, no case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out. Hence, application is rejected.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)