

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 945 OF 2020
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL ST. NO. 314 OF 2020

Prakash Tukaram Bhosale & Anr. ...Applicants.
Versus
State of Maharashtra & Another. ..Respondents.

Ms. Saili Dhuru i/b Mr. Tanaji S. Solankar for the applicants.
Mr. Arfan Sait, APP for the Respondent-State.

CORAM: PRASANNA B. VARALE &
N. R. BORKAR, JJ.
Date : **July 14, 2021.**

P. C. :

1. Heard learned counsel Ms. Saili Dhuru appearing with Mr. Tanaji S. Solankar for the Applicant. By inviting our attention to the reasons assigned in the application for condonation of delay and more particularly in paragraph no.2 of the application, learned counsel submitted that since after the impugned judgment and order, the Applicant is lodged in prison and as such it was difficult to take necessary steps, such as engaging an advocate and filing the appeal within time. It is also stated that the Applicants are the only bread-winners in the family and as they have been undergoing sentence, the family was facing financial hardships; their family members thereafter made some arrangement by raising funds and took necessary steps. Learned counsel

submitted that thus the delay occurred in filing appeal is for purely bonafide reasons and is unintentional.

2. In view of the submissions of learned counsel as well as for the reasons stated in application, delay of 8 days occurred in preferring appeal is condoned. Application for condonation of delay is allowed.

[N R. Borkar, J.]

[Prasanna B. Varale, J.]