

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.629 OF 2021

Mohini Parag Pandharipande Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra Respondent

....
**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.993 OF 2021
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.629 OF 2021**

Varsha C. SankholkarApplicant
IN THE MATTER BETWEEN
Mohini Parag Pandharipande Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra Respondent

Ms. Lakshmi Raman, _____ Advocate for the Applicant in
ABA/629/2021.

Smt. Anamika Malhotra, APP for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Rohit Pawaskar, Advocate for the Applicant/Intervenor in
IA/993/2021.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.
DATE : 28th JULY, 2021
[Through Video Conferencing]**

P.C. :

1. Both learned counsel i.e. learned counsel for the
applicant as well as learned counsel for the intervenor make a
joint statement that the FIR, which is subject matter of this

application, is quashed by a Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 16.7.2021 passed in Criminal Writ Petition No.1102/2021 on 16.7.2021. Both learned counsel jointly submitted that Anticipatory Bail Application No.629/2021, therefore, has become infructuous and the intervention application i.e. Interim Application No.993/2021 does not survive.

2. Considering these submissions, both these applications are disposed of as having rendered infructuous.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

Deshmane (PS)