

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Amk

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 174 OF 2021

Akbar Hussain s/o Safi Hussain @ Raju
Batla @ Bhai .. Appellant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Ms. Kritika Agarwal i/b Mr. Mateen Shaikh for the Appellant.
Mr. Sandesh Patil a/w. Mr. Chintan Shah for Respondent No.1A.
Mrs. S. D. Shinde, APP for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : S. S. SHINDE & MANISH PITALE, JJ.
DATE : 26th FEBRUARY, 2021.

P. C. :

1. Heard learned Counsel appearing for the Appellant and learned APP appearing for the Respondent-State. Perused the impugned order. In paragraph 7 of the impugned order, learned Judge has assigned the cogent reasons in addition to it.
2. Learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent on the basis of written information received from the office of the Respondent No.1 submits that there are 21 offences pending against the Appellant.
3. In that view of the matter, we do not wish to use our discretion in favour of the Appellant. Hence, the Appeal stands rejected.

[MANISH PITALE, J.]

[S. S. SHINDE J.]

Arjun
M.
Kadam
Digitally signed
by Arjun M.
Kadam
Date:
2021.03.01
12:45:43
+0530