

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 94 OF 2016

Shobha Kashinath Chavan ... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... Respondents

.....

None for the Applicant.

Mr. R. M. Pethe, APP for the Respondent – State.

.....

CORAM : PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.

DATE : 23rd JULY, 2021

PER COURT:

1. None appears for the applicant. On the previous date of hearing i.e. on 8th July, 2021 also the advocate for the applicant was absent.

2. The applicant is the original complainant. The First Information Report was registered on 9th December, 2009 for offences under Sections 406 & 420 of Indian Penal Code.

3. The respondent No.2 was granted bail by the Special Court vide order dated 21st March, 2014, under the Maharashtra Protection of Interest of Depositors (in Financial Establishments) Act, 1999.

4. Almost more than six years have passed after the bail was granted to respondent No.2. I have perused the order dated 21st March, 2014, the learned Judge has taken into consideration the submissions of both the sides. It was observed that the prosecution has secured and attached many properties in the said case. The house of respondent No.2 was attached. Row house, which is in the process of acquisition was also attached. Investigating is completed and charge-sheet was filed. There are 77 witnesses. Further custody of the accused is not necessary. In the light of the observation of the Special Court, I do not find any reason to interfere in the impugned order.

ORDER

Criminal Application No.94 of 2016 stands rejected and disposed of accordingly.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)