

VISHWANATH  
SATYANARAYANA  
SHERLA  
Digitally signed by  
VISHWANATH  
SATYANARAYANA  
SHERLA  
Date: 2021.09.09  
10:11:20 +0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE SIDE**

**CRIMINAL INTERIM APPLICATION NO.157 OF 2021  
IN  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.444 OF 2020**

**Chayya Dipak Solvande & another** ... Applicants

**IN THE MATTER BETWEEN:**

Vijay Biru Pujari & others ... Appellants

**Vs.**

State of Maharashtra & another ... Respondents

Mr.Y.S. Singh for the Interveners / Applicants  
Ms.Rati Sinhasane i/b Mr.Umesh Mankapure for Appellants  
Mr.K.V. Saste, APP, for Respondent – State  
Mr.Rohan Hogle i/b Mr.Meghdeep Oak for Respondent No.2

**CORAM: S.S. SHINDE &  
N.J. JAMADAR, JJ.**

**DATED: SEPTEMBER 8, 2021**

**P.C.:**

**1.** Heard the learned Counsel for the parties. This application is preferred by the Applicants, who are witnesses to the alleged incident, for intervention in the Appeal. The appellant has impleaded Respondent No.2, who is the first informant. Respondent No.2 is represented by Counsel.

2. In the aforesaid backdrop, the application for intervention does not deserve to be entertained. However, the interveners are at liberty to assist the learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 in advancing their submissions in opposition to the Appeal.

3. Intervention Application accordingly stands disposed off.

**(N.J. JAMADAR, J.)**

**(S.S. SHINDE, J.)**