

ISM

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 22 OF 2020

WITH

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 42 OF 2020

MRS. NEHA AMOL BARKUL

....APPLICANT

V/s.

MR. AMOL RAMCHANDRA BARKUL

.....RESPONDENT

Mr. Ajinkya M. Udane for the applicant

None for respondent

CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE: AUGUST 18, 2021.

P.C.:

1] None appears for non-applicant though served. Applicant-wife has initiated D.V. Proceedings being P.W. D.V. 143/2017 so also Restitution of Conjugal Rights proceedings being M.P. No. 199/2018 at Parbhani Court of which transfer is sought. It is claimed that applicant-wife, for education of her daughter, has shifted to Pune.

2] Considering the fact that applicant is custodian of a daughter and though served, non-applicant has not objected the prayer for transfer, particularly in the background of the fact that he being resident of Pune, in my opinion, application needs to be allowed.

3] Applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

[NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.]

IRESH
SIDDHARAM
MASHAL

Digitally signed by
IRESH
SIDDHARAM
MASHAL
Date: 2021.08.18
19:01:07 +0530