

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1979 OF 2016
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 444 OF 2016
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1868 OF 2021

Subhash Khashaba Bhosale	...	Petitioner/Applicant
<i>Versus</i>		
Venutai Chavan Mahila Nagari Sahkari Patsantha Maryadit & Ors.	...	Respondents

Mr. Machhindra A. Patil a/w. Mr. Vijaykumar R. Garad, Advocate for the
Petitioner/Applicant.

Mr. Prabhakar Jagdale, Advocate for the Respondent No.1.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI, J
DATED: 29th November, 2021

PC:-

1 After hearing of the petition progressed for some time, Mr. Patil, learned Counsel for the petitioner/applicant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw this writ petition stating that his client would approach respondent No.1 along with a settlement proposal.

2 Accordingly, allowed to be withdrawn as prayed for. If such a proposal is made by the petitioner within a period of two weeks, respondent No.1 shall decide such proposal within a period of two weeks from its receipt. Till such

time the Special Recovery and Sales Officer obviously would reconsider the position whether he should proceed to execute the orders as impugned in this petition.

3 All contentions of the parties on such proposal are expressly kept open.

4 It is clarified that the Court has not interfered in the impugned order which was subject matter of the challenge in this writ petition.

5 In view of disposal of writ petition, the Civil Application and Interim Application pending shall also stand disposed of.

(G. S. KULKARNI,J.)