

Urmila Ingale

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 1730 OF 2010
ALONG WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION STAMP NO.95877 OF 2020**

AND

**WRIT PETITION NO. 1731 OF 2010
ALONG WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION STAMP NO.95885 OF 2020**

Shri Patil Suresh Vishnu
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra and ors.

....Petitioner
..... Respondents

Mr.Umesh Pawar, for the Petitioner.
Mr.Prashant Bhavake, for Respondents No. 3 & 4.
Mr.Narendra Bandiwadekar, for Respondents No. 5A & 5B.
Mr.S.H. Kankal, AGP for the Respondents No. 1 & 2 – State.
Mr.Suresh Vishnu Patil – Petitioner present.
Mr.Ananda Demji Chougale, Secretary of Respondent No.3 present.
Mr.Dattatraya Ananda Jadhav- Mead Master of Respondent No.4 present.
Smt.Ujwala Kishan Gurval – Respondent No. 5A present.

CORAM : M. S. KARNIK, J.

DATE : 03rd FEBRUARY, 2021

P.C. :

. The parties have tendered the consent terms which are taken on board and marked 'X' for identification. I have

gone through the consent terms. The consent terms are duly signed by the parties and their respective Advocates. The Petitioner as well as Secretary of Respondent No.3 and Head Master of Respondent No.4 are present in the Court. Even Respondent No. 5A is present in Court as one of the legal heir of deceased Respondent No.5. The parties say that they have signed the consent terms and agreed to abide by the same.

2. Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of the consent terms. In view of disposal of the consent terms, Interim Applications do not survive and the same stand disposed of.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)