

Vidya Amin

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9261 OF 2009

Sou. Dhruvati Sadanand Dalvai	... Petitioner
Vs.	
The Collector, Kolhapur & Ors.	... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9263 OF 2009**

Sou. Varsha Deepak Dhere	... Petitioner
Vs.	
The Collector, Kolhapur & Ors.	... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9537 OF 2009**

Sou. Sarika Tanaji Bhokare	... Petitioner
Vs.	
The Collector, Kolhapur & Ors.	... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9535 OF 2009**

Sou. Padmavati Chandrakant Bhandare	... Petitioner
Vs.	
The Collector, Kolhapur & Ors.	... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9536 OF 2009**

Sou. Rabia Salim Bagwan	... Petitioner
Vs.	
The Collector, Kolhapur & Ors.	... Respondents

None for Petitioner.

Smt. P.N. Diwan AGP for State.

Mr. S.B. Shetye for Resp No.6 in WP/9261/2009, WP/9263/2009.

Mr Vinod P. Sangivkar a/w Ms Vaishnavi Gholve for Resp No.3.

CORAM : G.S. KULKARNI, J.

DATE : 27th AUGUST 2021

P.C.:

1. Learned Government Pleader, Appellate Side had circulated in the Registry a list of old infructuous matters so that these matters could be listed and disposed of as infructuous. These petitions are such matters in the list. These matters were accordingly listed before this Court on 20 August, 2021 with a prior notice dated 17 August, 2021, by which the parties were intimated that if the proceedings have not become infructuous, then a praecipe be submitted in the office before its listing on 20 August 2021.
2. On 20 August, 2021, when these petitions were listed before the Court and was called out, none appeared for the petitioners nor a praecipe in response to the notice dated 17 August, 2021 stating that the matters have not become infructuous was placed on record. However, to give a second chance to the parties, the Court adjourned these petitions, to be listed today with a specific advance notice dated 24 August, 2021, that the parties are again permitted to submit a praecipe if the proceedings are not infructuous. Despite such second notice, no praecipe is received in the present proceedings on behalf of the petitioners.
3. It, therefore, appears that the petitioners are not interested to prosecute these petitions and/or they have become infructuous. These petitions are, accordingly, disposed of, however, with liberty to the petitioners to revive these petitions in the event the petitioners feel that the cause of action still survives.
4. Disposed of in the above terms. No costs.

(G.S. KULKARNI, J.)