

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1668 OF 2021
(FOR INTERVENTION)**

**IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2771 OF 2021**

Shri Prashant Parshwanath Manikshete ... Applicant

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

Mrs. Pratibha Rajkumar Shirshad & Anr. ...Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr. Narendra V. Bandiwadekar a/w, Mr. Ajinkya Navale and Mr. Vinayaka Kumbhar i/b. Mrs. Ashwini Navjyot Bandiwadekar, for Petitioners.

Mr. Shrishail Sakhare, for the Applicant.

Mr. N. K. Rajpurohit, AGP, for Respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. S. A. Rajeshirke, for Respondent No.4.

**CORAM : R.D. DHANUKA &
ABHAY AHUJA, JJ.**

DATE : 14th SEPTEMBER 2021

P.C. :

. Learned Counsel for the original petitioners, on instructions, states that without admitting that the petitioner

No.1 is part of the management of petitioner No.2, his clients have no objection if the applicant in interim application is allowed to intervene in this petition. Statement is accepted.

2. The applicant is also allowed to intervene in this petition. It is made clear that while allowing to intervene in the petition, None of the contention raised by the applicant are deemed to have been accepted by the original petitioners. The original petitioners are allowed to proceed with the matter on the basis of denial of the contentions raised and the averments made in the interim application.

3. Interim Application is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)

(R.D. DHANUKA, J.)