

Urmila Ingale

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2015 OF 2016

Vasantdada Shetkari Sahakari Bank Ltd.Petitioner
Vs.
Sanjay Ramchandra Tarlekar and ors. Respondents

Mr.Shivaji Masal, for Petitioner.
Mr.Charles D'Souza a/w Mr.V.N. Ajitkumar, for the Respondents
No.1 & 2.
Mr.P.P. Pujari, AGP for the Respondent No.4 – State.

CORAM : M. S. KARNIK, J.

DATE : 02nd MARCH, 2021

P.C. :

. Heard learned Counsel for the Petitioner.

2. By this Petition, the Petitioner challenges the order dated 11/09/2014 passed by Minister, Co-operative, Marketing and Textile, Maharashtra State in Revision Application No. 156 of 2014. The Hon'ble Minister has recorded a finding that an amount of Rs.40 lakhs towards outstanding was paid with the Bank on 29/12/2007. Thereafter on 05/12/2007, the Bank had given proposal that if Rs. 60 lakhs is paid, then the borrower would be made free of his liability of the loan account.

3. Today letter dated 11/03/2020 has been produced addressed by the liquidator indicating that amount of Rs. 1,09,00,000/- has been deposited. The said communication dated 11/03/2020 is taken on record and marked as Exhibit 'C1' for identification. No further details are brought on record to show if any further amount is payable by Respondents No.1 & 2 towards the outstanding loan account. In fact as per the one time proposal, the borrower has paid the entire outstanding.

4. In this view of the matter, I see no reason to interfere with the impugned order passed by the Hon'ble Minister. As all dues are paid by Respondents No. 1 & 2 towards the loan account, the order passed by Hon'ble Minister calls for no interference. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)