

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE SIDE**

**CRIMINAL INTERIM APPLICATION NO.157 OF 2021
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.444 OF 2020**

Chayya Dipak Solvande & another ... Applicants

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN:

Vijay Biru Pujari & others ... Appellants

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & another ... Respondents

Mr.Y.S. Singh for the Interveners / Applicants
Ms.Rati Sinhasane i/b Mr.Umesh Mankapure for Appellants
Mr.K.V. Saste, APP, for Respondent – State
Mr.Rohan Hogle i/b Mr.Meghdeep Oak for Respondent No.2

**CORAM: S.S. SHINDE &
N.J. JAMADAR, JJ.**

DATED: SEPTEMBER 8, 2021

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties. This application is preferred by the Applicants, who are witnesses to the alleged incident, for intervention in the Appeal. The appellant has impleaded Respondent No.2, who is the first informant. Respondent No.2 is represented by Counsel.

2. In the aforesaid backdrop, the application for intervention does not deserve to be entertained. However, the interveners are at liberty to assist the learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 in advancing their submissions in opposition to the Appeal.

3. Intervention Application accordingly stands disposed off.

(N.J. JAMADAR, J.)

(S.S. SHINDE, J.)