

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

APPEAL FROM ORDER No. 63 OF 2019
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 214 OF 2019
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER No. 63 OF 2019

Anil Fondu Naik Gaonkar

..... Appellant

V e r s u s

State of Goa, Thr its Chief Secretary And 3 Ors.

.....Respondents

Mr. Rohit Bras De Sa, Advocate for the Appellant.

Mr. Deep D. Shirodkar, Additional Government Advocate for the Respondent No.1.

Mr. S. P. Munj, Additional Government Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

CORAM : M. S. SONAK, J

DATE : 16th June, 2021

P.C.

Heard Rohit Bras De Sa, learned Counsel for the Appellant,
Mr. Deep D. Shirodkar, learned Additional Government Advocate for the
Respondent No.1 and Mr. S. P. Munj, learned Additional Government
Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. Admit.

3. It is made clear that the pendency of this appeal shall not be a bar for the trial court to proceed with the suit. In fact, the trial court should proceed with the suit and endeavour to dispose of the same on merits without in any manner being influenced by the impugned order or the fact that this appeal is pending.

4. The Civil Application seeking interim reliefs is disposed of since, by the impugned order interim relief was declined by the appellant and, further, it is not as if any ad-interim order was operating during the pendency of the application for interim relief before the trial court.

M. S. SONAK, J.

msr.