

Suchitra

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO.143/2021

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.207/2021

VAIBHAV ULHAS NAIK

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THR. CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 2 ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

Mr. Gaurang Panandikar, Advocate *for the Petitioner.*

Mr. Sagar Dhargalkar, Additional Government Advocate *for the State.*

Mr. D. Lawande with Mr. P. Dangui, Advocates *for Respondent No.3.*

**CORAM: DIPANKAR DATTA, CJ. &
M. S. SONAK, J.**

DATED: 19th August 2021

P.C.:

1. In the course of hearing, we had expressed our *prima facie* view that the impugned order of termination of service does not invite interference. At this stage Mr. Gaurang Panandikar, learned advocate for the petitioner submits that he is led by Mr. S.D. Lotlikar, learned Senior Advocate who is not present in the Court today and a short adjournment is prayed for.

2. Prayer for adjournment should have been made at the first instance and not after the Court had looked into the merits of the challenge.
3. Be that as it may, the prayer for adjournment is granted.
4. As a last chance, hearing is adjourned to 24.08.2021.

M. S. SONAK, J.

CHIEF JUSTICE