

Aura

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
Criminal Writ Petitions Nos. 41,42, 43, 44 and 45 of 2020

Sanjay Vithu Barde

Petitioner

Versus

1. Shailesh Shetty and anr.

Respondents

Mr. G. Teles, Advocate for the Petitioner in all the petitions.

Ms. A. Godinho, Advocate for the Respondent no.1.

Mr. Gaurish Nagvekar, Addl. Public Prosecutor for
Respondent-State

CORAM: MANISH PITALE, J

DATED: 15th September, 2021

Oral Order ;

1. The learned counsel appearing for the rival parties submit that the consent terms have been arrived at. A copy of the consent terms is handed over to the Court which is signed by the rival parties as well as their advocates.
2. It is also pointed out that the rival parties are present in Court and have been identified by their counsel.
3. The consent terms include details of the cheques to be handed over by the petitioner for specific amounts.

4. The consent terms are taken on record in Criminal Writ Petition no.41 of 2020 and marked as “X”.

5. In view of the said consent terms filed before this Court, the learned counsel for the petitioner in these petitions has instructions to state that the petitioner does not wish to press these petitions.

6. In view of the above, the Writ Petitions are disposed of as not pressed.

MANISH PITALE, J.