

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION (BAIL) NOS. 18, 19 AND 33 OF 2020

WITH

STA NOS. 341 AND 342 OF 2020

(Filing No.)

AND

CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO. 44 OF 2020

CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION (BAIL) NO. 18 OF 2020

WITH

STA NO. 341 OF 2020

Joelinda Shalima Rebello Vaz

..... Applicant

V e r s u s

State,

Thr. Police Inspector,

Maina Curtorim Police Station & anr.

..... Respondents

Dr. Maria Fatima D' Souza &

Fatima D' Souza

..... Intervenor

Mr. Chirag Angle, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. G. Nagvenkar, Additional Public Prosecutor for the Respondent-State.

Mr. John Lobo, Advocate for the Intervenor.

CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION (BAIL) NO. 19 OF 2020

WITH

STA NO. 342 OF 2020

Reagan Vaz Applicant

V e r s u s

State,
Thr. Police Inspector,
Maina Curtorim Police Station & anr. Respondents

Dr. Maria Fatima D' Souza &
Fatima D' Souza Intervenor

Mr. Chirag Angle, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. G. Nagvenkar, Additional Public Prosecutor for the Respondent-State.

Mr. John Lobo, Advocate for the Intervenor.

CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION (BAIL) NO. 33 OF 2020
IN
CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO. 44 OF 2020

Titus Douglas Norman Cruz Applicant

V e r s u s

State,
Thr. Police Inspector,
Maina Curtorim Police Station & anr. Respondents

Dr. Maria Fatima D' Souza &
Fatima D' Souza Intervenor

Mr. Pravin Faldessai, Additional Public Prosecutor for the Respondent-State.

Mr. John Lobo, Advocate for the Intervenor.

CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : 22nd March, 2021

P.C.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor, on instructions of the Investigating Officer, who is personally present in the Court, informs that the custody of the accused in the present Crime is not required. In the order dated 16.03.2021, it is noted that the parties have agreed for compounding. The parties are present in the Court and are identified by the learned Counsel for the applicants, who have agreed to the content of terms of compounding placed on record.

2. In the aforesaid background, particularly having regard to the statement made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, no further orders are warranted as the applicants are not to be arrested in the crime in question. As such, all the three applications which are arising out of the same crime are disposed off.

3. The parties herein are at liberty to prefer the proceedings or

compounding/quashing.

4. Needless to clarify, the Court has not examined the merits of the matter.

NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.