

vinita

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.55 OF 2020.

ESPERNACA FERNANDES., ...Petitioner.

Versus

THE STATE OF GOA, THR.
CHIEF SECRETARY AND 5 ORS., ...Respondents.

Mr. B. Sardessai, Advocate *for the petitioner.*

Mr. D. Pangam, Advocate General with Ms. M. Correia,
Addl. Govt. Advocate *for the respondent nos. 1 to 3.*

Mr. A. Bhobe, Advocate *for the respondent nos.5 and 6.*

**CORAM: SUNIL P. DESHMUKH &
M. S. SONAK, JJ.**

DATED: 25th August, 2021.

P.C.:

1. While revocation of permission order dated 7.11.2019 for non-reference to aspects referred to therein is being questioned in present petition, after hearing learned counsel for parties for some time, a fresh application for permission for construction of compound wall is possible dealing with/referring to these aspects, according to counsel for respondent nos. 1 to 3.

2. In view of aforesaid, it would be expedient that petitioner would approach making fresh application for construction to the concerned authority. The concerned authority may decide the application in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of the application.

3. With aforesaid observations, writ petition is disposed of.

M. S. SONAK, J.

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

VINITA

VIKAS NAIK

Digitally signed by
VINITA VIKAS NAIK
Date: 2021.08.27
16:05:13 +05'30'