

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 13465 OF 2021

Municipal Council Paithan through its
Chief Officer Santosh Dagadu Agale .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Yuvraj V. Kakde, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri S. G. Karlekar, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.
Shri N. K. Choudhari, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.
DATE : 04TH DECEMBER, 2021.**

FINAL ORDER :

- . Heard Mr. Kakde, the learned advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Choudhari, the learned advocate for the respondent No. 2.
2. The petition is filed seeking directions to decide the review application.
3. Mr. Choudhari, the learned advocate for the respondent No. 2 submits that, the review application of the petitioner is rejected. It was not within stipulated period, nor in proper format. The application did not accompany necessary documents.

4. As the review application itself is rejected, the petitioner has remedy of appeal before the Tribunal. The petitioner may avail the said remedy as may be permissible in law. In that event all contentions are kept open. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[R. N. LADDHA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Dec.21