

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2402 OF 2020
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST.NO. 30559 OF 2019

The Ex. Engineer, Osmanabad
Minor Irrigation Project Division,
Osmanabad ..Applicant

Versus

Sumant s/o Baliram Shinde and ors. ..Respondents

Mr A.D. Gadekar, Advocate for applicant
Mr L.C. Patil, Advocate for respondent no.1
Mr G.O. Wattamwar, A.G.P. for respondents no.2 and 3

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2404 OF 2020
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST.NO.30559 OF 2019

The Ex. Engineer, Osmanabad
Minor Irrigation Project Division,
Osmanabad ..Applicant

Versus

Yeshwant Baliram Shinde and ors. ..Respondents

Mr A.D. Gadekar, Advocate for applicant
Mr L.C. Patil, Advocate for respondent no.1
Mr G.O. Wattamwar, A.G.P. for respondents no.2 and 3

CORAM : SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.

DATE : 3rd July 2021

PER COURT :

1. These are applications for condonation of delay moved by the applicant/acquiring body for condonation of delay.

2. Heard Mr Gadekar, learned Advocate for applicant, Mr L.C. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent no.1 and Mr Wattamwar, learned A.G.P. for respondents no.2 and 3.

3. There seems to be delay of 834 days in preferring the appeals.
4. Mr L.C. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent no.1 strongly opposed to condone the delay. According to Mr Patil, no sound reasons are assigned for condonation of delay by the applicant.
5. For the reasons stated in the applications for condonation of delay and to meet the ends of justice, the delay needs to be condoned to decide the appeals on merits. With this, I conclude and proceed to pass the following order :

ORDER

- (i) Both the Civil Applications for condonation of delay are hereby allowed;
 - (ii) The appeals be registered after due scrutiny as per the procedure and thereafter it be numbered and placed before the Court for admission.
6. Both the Civil Applications stand disposed of accordingly.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.)

VVR