

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

929 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2261 OF 2016
IN SAST/31431/2015

BABANRAO SHALIGRAM PATIL
VERSUS
ARUN SHALIGRAM PATIL AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Kedar Balbhim R.
Advocate for Respondent No.6 : Mr. Gholap Ajit M.
...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.

DATE : 04.08.2021

ORDER :-

1. Present application has been filed for getting the delay of 103 days condoned in filing second appeal.

2. Heard learned Advocate Mr. B. R. Kedar for the applicant and learned Advocate Mr. A. M. Gholap for respondent No.6. Though respondent Nos.1 to 5 are served, none appear for them.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has made submissions in support of the application.

4. Learned Advocate for respondent No.6 strongly opposed the application and submitted that no proper much less sufficient reasons have been assigned to condone the delay.

5. Taking into consideration the duration of the delay and for the reasons mentioned in the application, the delay stands condoned.
6. Application stands allowed and disposed of accordingly.
7. Registry to verify and register the second appeal.

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.]

scm