

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
49 CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.321 OF 2019**

**SANJEEV S/O. RAMESH MALHOTRA
VERSUS
GIRDHARILAL BISHAMBARDAS NAYYAR AND ANR**

...
**Advocate for Applicant : Kawre Anand D.
APP for Respondents: S.P.Sonpawale
Advocate for Respondent No.1: A.S.Gandhi**

...
**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL, J.
DATE : 03.05.2021**

PC. :-

Heard the learned advocates for both the sides.

2] The revision petitioner happens to be the original accused who has been convicted for the offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and whose appeal against conviction has also been dismissed.

3] Both the sides have now settled the matter amicably and have tendered compromise affidavit which is marked "X" for the purpose of identification. The learned advocates of both the sides therefore, request that the matter may be allowed to be compounded.

4] The request is innocuous. Parties are allowed to compound the matter. The conviction is quashed and set aside and the Revision petitioner is acquitted. Revision Application is disposed of.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]