

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

919 SECOND APPEAL NO.115 OF 2013

RUKMINIBAI WAMANRAO SOLUMKE, DIED THR. L.RS.
WAMANRAOCHIMANJI SOLUNKE AND ORS
VERSUS
BABASAHEB SHEHSRAO PAWAR AND ANR

...
Advocate for Appellants : Mr. Deshmukh Rajiv B. (Absent)
R/1 And 2 Served.
...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.

DATE : 08.09.2021

ORDER :-

. Learned Advocate for the appellants is absent.

2. He was absent on the earlier date also and it was specifically stated that if he fails to remain present and make submissions, the appeal is liable to be dismissed. It also appears from the earlier record that though the second appeal was filed in the year 2013, it was hardly taken up on board on 01.10.2013. On that day, the learned Advocate for the appellant was absent. Thereafter, the matter could appear on board on 10.01.2014 and on the request of learned Advocate for the appellant, it was adjourned. Thereafter, the matter came up on board on 04.01.2016 when the notices were issued to respondents. The notices were served to respondents, however, thereafter, the matter was not

even taken for circulation. It could come up on board only on 17.08.2021. The learned Advocate for the appellant is not prosecuting the matter properly. Under such circumstance, the appeal stands dismissed.

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.]

scm