

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

910 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11151 OF 2021

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 9960 OF 2021

PURUSHOTTAM NARAYAN MANDLIK

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
ANOTHER**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Khedkar Avinash S.

AGP for Respondents/State: Mr. S. K. Tambe

Advocate for Respondent No.2: Mr. Avinash Aghav

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATE : 29th October, 2021

PC. :

. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that, writ petition is disposed of. However, mistake was committed in typing the name of the petitioner instead of 'Parshuram', the same was typed as 'Purushottam' in the memo of writ petition.

2. The learned counsel for the respondent No.2 also accepts that the name of the petitioner ought to be 'Parshuram'.

3. In view of that, the petitioner is permitted to amend the memo of writ petition with regard to the title clause and if the memo of writ petition is amended, the name of the petitioner in the order dated 07.09.2021 be corrected as per the memo of writ petition. Civil Application is disposed of.

(R. N. LADDHA, J.)

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)