

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 489 OF 2020
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 25678 OF 2019**

Balaji Kishan Survase

..APPLICANT

VERSUS

State of Maharashtra and Others

..RESPONDENTS

**AND CA/490/2020 IN FAST/25691/2019
AND CA/492/2020 IN FAST/25700/2019
AND CA/494/2020 IN FAST/25703/2019
AND CA/493/2020 IN FAST/25697/2019
AND CA/491/2020 IN FAST/25694/2019**

....

Mr. N.G. Kale, Advocate for applicants

Mr. A.M. Phule, A.G.P. for respondent nos. 1 and 2

Mr. S.C. Arora, Advocate for respondent no.3

....

**CORAM : R.G. AVACHAT, J.
DATED : 01st OCTOBER, 2021**

PER COURT :

1. Heard.

2. Learned counsel for Respondent No.3 – acquiring body submits that the delay is huge one. Same has not been properly explained in the applications. He, therefore, seeks rejection of the applications.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants proposes for enhancement of compensation since their agricultural lands have compulsorily acquired.

4. In view of same, the applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause (B), provided the applicants shall not be entitled for the interest for the delayed period.

(R.G. AVACHAT, J.)

SSD