

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 663 OF 2020

Rohidas Chagan Devkar

...Appellant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

.....

Smt. P. V. Langhe, Advocate for the appellant

Shri. S. D. Ghayal, APP for respondent/State

Ms Y. A. Namde, Advocate (appointed) for respondent No. 2

.....

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
B. U. DEBADWAR, JJ.**

DATE : 26th FEBRUARY, 2021

PER COURT : -

1. This matter was heard for sometime. The learned Advocate for the appellant sought a pass-over to take instructions.

2. When the matter called out, the learned Advocate submits, on instructions, that the appellant desires to withdraw this appeal with liberty to renew his request for regular bail after the testimony of the informant is recorded.

3. The learned Advocate for respondent No. 2 opposes the said request.

4. In view of the above, the appeal is disposed off as withdrawn. After the testimony of the informant and her husband is recorded before the trial Court, the appellant may renew his request for regular bail.

5. Since we had appointed Miss Namde, learned Counsel to conduct this brief on behalf of respondent No. 2, we quantify her fees at Rs. 2,000/- (Rupees Two Thousand) to be paid by the High Court Legal Services, Sub-committee, Aurangabad.

[B. U. DEBADWAR]
JUDGE

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE]
JUDGE