

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 492 OF 2021

Gangabhishan Kashinath Thaware .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri B. R. Sabale, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri A. R. Kale, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri P. D. Suryawanshi, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : 14TH JANUARY, 2021.

FINAL ORDER :

. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner has given representations with the respondent No. 2 that, existing well from where water is sought to be supplied does not have sufficient water and so the alternate arrangement should be made. The Gram Panchayat has passed resolution to that effect, but the respondent No. 2 has not taken any decision upon it.

2. The learned A. G. P. accepts notice for the respondent No. 1. Mr. Suryawanshi, the learned counsel accepts notice for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

3. In case representation of the petitioner (page No. 46) is

pending with the respondent No. 2, then the respondent No. 2 shall consider said representation on its own merits and take appropriate decision expeditiously and preferably within a period of three (03) months from today.

4. The writ petition as such is disposed of. No costs.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Jan. 21