

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1153 OF 2020

SHAIKH SALIM S/O. SHAIKH JAINODDIN AND ANR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. A.B. Ghule (Patil)
APP for Respondent/State : Mr. S.W. Mundhe
Advocate for Assist to APP : Mr. I.I. Pathan h/f. Mr. M.H. Shaikh

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 03.03.2021

PER COURT :

During the course of argument it transpires that this is a second attempt by the applicant to seek anticipatory bail from this Court. His earlier ABA No.529/2020 having been dismissed already.

2. Pertinently, the present Application is absolutely silent about even filing of such earlier Application and its rejection. Obviously, there is absolutely no whisper in the Application as to how there is any change in the circumstances since rejection of the earlier Application. The Supreme Court in the recent judgment in the case of **G.R. Anand Babu Vs. State of Tamil Nadu; Criminal Appeal No.84/2021 (Arising out of SLP (Cri) No.213 of 2021 dated 28.01.2021** has depreciated such practice of filing successive applications for anticipatory bail without there being any apparent change in the circumstances.

3. Precisely for this reason even the learned Additional Sessions Judge has rejected the Application for anticipatory bail.

4. For all the above reasons the Application is rejected.

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)