

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1407 OF 2021

Prabhu Tukaram Pansambal and ors. ..Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and ors. ..Respondents

Mr S.G. Sonawane, Advocate for petitioners
Mr D.R. Kale, In-charge G.P. for respondent-State

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : 25th January 2021

PER COURT :

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the claim for gratuity against respondent no.4 has been allowed by the authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act. Revenue Recovery Certificate is also issued. Petitioner has filed an application to respondents no.2 and 3 for execution of revenue recovery, no steps are taken.

2. In case the petitioners are issued with the Revenue Recovery Certificate and those are in force and pending with respondents no.2 and 3 for execution, then respondents no.2 and 3 shall take steps for execution of the same, expeditiously. Of course, subject to the existing encumbrances and charge of the secured creditors and/or other admissible claims, the steps shall be initiated expeditiously, as above. The petitioners may point out the properties of respondent no.4 against which the Revenue Recovery Certificate is to be executed.

3. Writ Petition disposed of. No costs.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)