

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 1126 OF 2021

Babasaheb S/o Gangadhar Hatte

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

...Respondents

Mr A.M. Gaikwad, Advocate for Petitioner

Mr K.S. Patil, A.G.P. for Respondent-State

Mr V.M. Chate, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 5 and 6

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : 21st JANUARY, 2021

PER COURT :

1. The learned Counsel for the petitioner, the learned Assistant Government Pleader and Mr Chate, the learned Counsel for Respondent Nos. 5 and 6 are ad-idem that the petitioner is similarly situated as the petitioner in Writ Petition No. 8902/2019 decided under order dated 22nd July, 2019.

2. In view of that, we follow the same course.

3. The grievance raised in the instant petition is no more *res integra* and is covered by the Judgment delivered by this Court on 16.12.2014 in Writ Petition No. 6116 of 2014 and other companion matters.

4. For the reasons recorded while disposing of the aforesaid Writ Petitions, the instant Writ Petition also deserves to be allowed and the same is hereby allowed. The respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for grant of two increments, as per the policy of the Government and in consonance with the judgment referred to above, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of six months from today.

5. The Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.]

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

mta