

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 7964 OF 2020

Snehal Vasantryao Patil

.. Petitioner

Versus

The Union of India and another

.. Respondents

Shri Devidas R. Shelke, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mrs. D. S. Jape, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : 11TH FEBRUARY, 2021.

FINAL ORDER :

. We have heard Mr. Shelke, the learned advocate for the petitioner.

2. Mrs. Jape, the learned advocate for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 submits that, the petitioner has remedy before the Central Administrative Tribunal.

3. In the light of that, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternate remedy. In that event all contentions of the petitioner are kept open.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner be allowed to file interim application. The petitioner may make such request before the Central Administrative Tribunal,

which naturally Tribunal will consider on its own merits. No costs.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Feb. 21