

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

13 WRIT PETITION NO.9847 OF 2021

SUHAS BHAGWAT DOIPHODE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner:

Ms. Snehal Jadhav h/f. Mr. Kadam Vishal S
AGP for Respondents/State: Mr. A. R. Kale

...

AND

...

34 WRIT PETITION NO.9870 OF 2021

RAVISHANKAR ATMARAM CHAVAN

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner:

Ms. Snehal Jadhav h/f. Mr. Kadam Vishal S
AGP for Respondents/State: Mr. A. R. Kale

...

**CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATE: 06th SEPTEMBER, 2021

PER COURT:

1. We have heard learned Advocate for the
petitioners.

2. The learned A.G.P. raises a preliminary
objection *qua* the territorial jurisdiction of this
Court. According to the learned A.G.P., the
petitioners had applied for the post of Talathi

within the territorial jurisdiction of Solapur district.

3. It is not disputed by the learned Advocate for the petitioners that the advertisement was for filling in the post of Talathi only within the district of Solapur and the same was not open to be filled in throughout the State. The learned Advocate submits that the petitioners are resident within the territorial jurisdiction of this Court.

4. The cause of action would be relevant. The advertisement was for filling in the post of Solapur only and it was not for filling in the post throughout the State or another post within the territorial jurisdiction of this Court.

5. In light of that, we dispose of the writ petitions with liberty to the petitioners to approach the appropriate forum. All contentions are kept open. No costs.

[R. N. LADDHA, J.]
marathe

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]