

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

FIRST APPEAL NO. 48 OF 2006

Smt. Gangubai W/o Jagannath Chikne
and Ors.

...Appellants
(Orig. Claimants)

VERSUS

S. Jasbir Singh Anookshingh and Ors.

...Respondents
(Orig. Opponents)

Mr M.H. Patil, Advocate for Appellants
Mr M.M. Ambhore, Advocate for Respondent No. 2
Mr R.J. Godbole, Advocate for Respondent No. 4

CORAM : SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.

DATE : 23rd DECEMBER, 2021.

JUDGMENT :

1. It is a motion for speaking to the minutes moved by the learned counsel for the appellants.
2. Heard Mr M.H. Patil, learned counsel for the appellants.
3. Perused the order passed by this Court in First Appeal No. 48/2006 dated 16th December, 2021.
4. He points out that in the operative order clause No. (VI), instead of mentioning respondent Nos. 2 and 4, it has been mentioned respondent Nos. 2 and 3 and that needs to be corrected. He submits that respondent No. 4 is Insurance Company. That correction needs to be made.

5. Clause No. (VI) of the operative order reads thus -

(VI) Respondent Nos. 2 and 4 are at liberty to recover their respective amount of compensation paid to the claimants from their respective owners of the offending vehicles i.e. respondent Nos. 1 and 3 according to the provisions of law.

6. Motion is accordingly disposed of.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.]

mta