

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

57 WRIT PETITION NO.9893 OF 2021

**M/S SHOBHANA TRANSPORT COMPANY
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA**

...

**Advocate for Petitioner: Mr. Sonawane Ashish V
AGP for Respondent/Sole: Mr. S. G. Karlekar**

...

**CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATE: 06th SEPTEMBER, 2021

PER COURT:

1. Mr. Sonawane, learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that illegally the technical bid of the petitioner was rejected. It was stated that the petitioner did not satisfy the criteria of the minimum experience of Two-Way Transport. The learned Advocate submits that this Court at the Principal Seat has observed that even the total experience of One-Way Transport is sufficient.

2. Mr. Karlekar, learned A.G.P. appears for the respondent / sole and submits that the entire

tender process has been re-called and fresh tender has been issued.

3. The entire tender process has been re-called, the impugned order would loose its efficacy. The petitioner may apply afresh as per the fresh tender.

4. In case the technical bid of the petitioner is not favourably considered it is for the petitioner to agitate it afresh; in that event, the contentions of the petitioner are kept open.

5. Writ Petition accordingly stands disposed of.
No costs.

[R. N. LADDHA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe