

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

912 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8901 OF 2019
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 22715 OF 2018

THE G.M.I.D.C., THROUGH THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
MINOR IRRIGATION DIVISION, LATUR AND ANR

VERSUS

LATUR GORAKSHAN SANSTHA, LATUR
THROUGH ITS SECRETARY

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr Anil M. Gaikwad
Advocate for Respondent: Mr A.B. Kadethankar h/f Mr Swapnil Rath

CORAM : SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.

DATE : 27th OCTOBER, 2021

PER COURT :

1. It is an application for condonation of delay moved by the applicants/original respondents.
2. Heard Mr A.M. Gaikwad, learned counsel for the applicants and Mr A.B. Kadethankar, learned counsel holding for Mr Rath for respective sides.
3. Issue notice to the respondent. Mr Kadethankar, learned counsel holding for learned counsel Mr Rath waives notice for respondent-sole.
4. Perused the record. There seems to be delay of 763 days in filing the appeal.

5. Mr Kadethankar, learned counsel for the respondent opposed to condone delay.

6. The applicants have assigned sufficient reasons for condonation of delay in their application, more particularly, in para No. 3. Certain arguable points are involved in the proposed appeal, and therefore, I am of the considered view to condone the delay in the interest of justice.

ORDER

- (I) The civil application for condonation of delay is hereby allowed.
- (II) The Registry is directed to scrutinize the appeal and as per the procedure, it be numbered and placed before the court.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.]

mta