

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4500 OF 2020

Mukhyadhikari
Nagar Parishad, Kalamb

Petitioner

Versus

Ashok s/o Narayan Kadam & another

Respondents

Mr. B.R. Sontakke Patil, Advocate for the petitioner.

CORAM : M.G. Sewlikar, J.
DATE : 11th February, 2021.

PER COURT :

1. Heard Shri B.R. Sontakke Patil, learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel Shri Patil submitted that the workers were employed in the year 2000 and thereafter, they worked till filing of the complaint. He argued that the learned Member, Industrial Court, Latur, erred in giving the directions as the petitioner had already moved a proposal for making the workers permanent. Therefore, nothing is left to be done by the petitioner from its side.

3. On going through the order of the learned Member, Industrial Court, Latur, it appears that the learned Member has held that there was no unfair labour practice on the part of the respondents and he has given directions to the respondents No. 1 and 2 to be complied with within a specified period. Moreover, on the facts of the case, it appears that the work is of perennial nature. Therefore, I do not feel that the learned Member, Industrial Court, Latur committed any error in passing the impugned order. The petition is devoid of any substance hence dismissed.

(M. G. SEWLIKAR)
JUDGE

dyb