

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

934 WRIT PETITION NO.9626 OF 2021

VIJAY PIRAJIRAO JONDHALE

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. S. S. Thombre, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. S. K. Tambe, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATED : 31st AUGUST, 2021.

PER COURT:-

1. The petitioner is a Gramsevak.
2. We have heard Mr. Thombre, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.G.P.
3. The petitioner is issued with the show cause notice. The petitioner has filed reply to the show cause notice. No further decision has been taken as yet on the said show cause notice.
4. As no further steps are taken pursuant to the show cause notice, at present we are not inclined to entertain the writ petition. The petitioner naturally has opportunity to raise all the possible ground while replying to the show cause notice. The grounds raised by the petitioner

certainly would be required to be considered before proceeding further.

5. In view of that, it would be premature to entertain the writ petition.

6. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(R. N. LADDHA)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE