

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

85 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 393 OF 2021

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1462 OF 2019

Prakash Shrirang Salve .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

86 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 394 OF 2021

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1691 OF 2019

Prakash Shrirang Salve .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

87 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 395 OF 2021

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1470 OF 2019

Rakesh Shankarrao Biradar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

88 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 396 OF 2021

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1463 OF 2019

Balaji Majur Sahakari Sanstha Maryadit
Through its Chairman
Dattatray Bhanudas Chole .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

89 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 397 OF 2021**IN****WRIT PETITION NO. 1456 OF 2019**

Rakesh Shankarrao Biradar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

90 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 398 OF 2021**IN****WRIT PETITION NO. 1460 OF 2019**

Balaji Majur Sahakari Sanstha Maryadit

Through its Chairman

Dattatray Bhanudas Chole .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

91 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 399 OF 2021**IN****WRIT PETITION NO. 1459 OF 2019**

Balaji Majur Sahakari Sanstha Maryadit

Through its Chairman

Dattatray Bhanudas Chole .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. P. D. Bachate, Advocate for the Petitioner in all matters.

Mr. P. K. Lakhotiya, AGP for Respondents/State in all matters.

Mr. P. D. Suryawanshi, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in all matters.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.****DATED : 20th October, 2021.****PER COURT:-**

. Heard Mr. Bachate, learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. Mr. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 submits that the claim has been decided and the same is negated. There is delay caused in deciding the same. The deponent has tendered unconditional apology. It is submitted that the subordinates of the deponent did not place the files by putting proper noting.

3. As the order is complied with though belatedly, the contempt stands purged.

4. The contempt petitions are disposed of. The petitioners may take steps as against the orders passed by the respondents negating the claim of the petitioners as may be permissible under law. In that even, all contentions of the parties are kept open.

(R. N. LADDHA)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

PS.B.