

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8922 OF 2021

Vivekanand Vijaykumar Auti
and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Mr. Santosh S. Dambe, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for Respondents/State.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATED : 17th AUGUST, 2021.

PER COURT:-

. Prima facie, we do not find an error in the order of the Tribunal.

The Tribunal has passed the following order.

(I) The respondents are directed to work out the list of eligible candidates for imparting training at Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik based on result of different LDCEs conducted during relevant period in the given context and make a systematic plan for imparting training in different batches ensuring that similarly situated candidates are treated similarly and there is no discrimination by way of preferential treatment to one set of candidates.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that under the

orders of the Tribunal and the High Court the persons, who were selected for the batch no. 121 were already sent for training.

3. It appears that, the petitioners had approached the Tribunal very late. Earlier the petitioners filed the original application. The orders were already passed for sending those persons for training.

4. As per Clause (I) of the order passed by the Tribunal, naturally, the respondents will have to consider the case of the petitioners, as the said order does not seem to have been challenged. The interim order does not go against the petitioners. On the contrary, it takes into account the earlier orders of the Tribunal and High Court. Naturally, the respondents will have to consider the claim of the petitioners for training in tune with the interim order of the Tribunal. Moreover, the Tribunal has already issued notice of final disposal.

5. Naturally, the State would verify the eligibility of the petitioners and as to whether there are candidates prior to the petitioners and then consider the case of the petitioners for sending them for training.

6. With these observations, writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

(R. N. LADDHA)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

PS.B.