

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

909 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.918 OF 2021

**JAYMALA D/O. NARAYANRAO DHANKIKAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

Mr. R. K. Ingole, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. R. B. Bagul, APP for the respondent/State
Mr. P. P. Mandlik, Advocate for the respondent No.3

**CORAM : SURENDRA P. TAVADE, J.
DATE : 03-09-2021**

P. C.

. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent No.3 submits that respondent No.3 is already departed India for pursuing his further studies. In view of the said act it appears that impugned order becomes absolute. Therefore, there is no need to continue the writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that if the respondent No.3 fails to return back, the petitioner has no remedy to secure his presence.

(2)

criwp918.21

4. In view of the above facts present petition has no merit.
Hence, the criminal writ petition is disposed of.

[SURENDRA P. TAVADE, J.]

VishalK/criwp918.21