

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.8260 OF 2018

**BHAGWANRAO VENKANNA TUMMALWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr.Deshmukh Avinash S.
AGP for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : Mr.P.S. Patil
Advocate for the Respondent No.5 : Shri B.A. Darak

...
**CORAM : DIPANKAR DATTA, C.J.
&
RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.**

DATE :- 16th JUNE, 2021

PER COURT:-

1. In the course of hearing of this writ petition, Mr.Darak, learned advocate for the respondent no.5/ Municipal Council submits, on instructions, that although the quantum of money payable to the petitioner on account of pension has been reduced, for the reasons appearing in the impugned order, the Municipal Council does not propose to initiate proceedings for recovery of any sum paid to the petitioner in excess of his entitlement.
2. In view of such statement of Mr.Darak, Mr.Deshmukh, learned advocate for the petitioner, submits that he would not proceed with this writ petition any further.
3. The statement of Mr.Darak is accepted. On such basis, this writ petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order for costs.