

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

100 WRIT PETITION NO.8563 OF 2021

SMT. SAVITA DADASAHEB DHAGE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Rameshwar F. Totala.

AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. K. N. Lokhande.

Adv. for Respondent Nos.2 & 3: Mr. C. T. Jadhav, h/f Mr. V. D. Patnurkar.

...

CORAM : **S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATE : 29th November, 2021.

P.C.:

. The learned counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner was transferred beyond 30 kilometers. The scheme of couple convenience has not been adhered to. The petitioner joined the transferred place under protest. The learned counsel submits that the petitioner has given representation for giving benefit of couple convenience. The same is not considered.

2 Mr. C. T. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Mr. V. D. Patnurkar, learned counsel, appears for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

3 In case, the petitioner has given representation to respondent No.2 (page 64) and if the same is pending with respondent No.2, then

respondent No.2 shall decide it on its own merits, in accordance with law and policy, preferably within eight weeks.

4 The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[R. N. LADDHA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

nga