

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 882 OF 2021

Sandip Haribhau Chavan
(C.No. 8714)

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and another

... Respondents

....

Mr. Satish A. Gaikwad, Advocate for the Petitioner
Ms. Preeti V. Diggikar, APP for Respondents / State

....

**CORAM : V. K. JADHAV AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : 10th AUGUST, 2021

PER COURT:-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner for some time.
2. We are not inclined to entertain this petition for two reasons that the petitioner-convict while on parole leave had committed the offence bearing Crime No. I-201 of 2020 registered with Virgaon Police Station, Tq. Vaijapur, Dist. Aurangabad for the offences punishable under Sections 354-A, 354, 341, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3(1)(W(i)(ii) of the the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and secondly, committed the breach of the conditions of parole. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in connection with the

crime No. I-201 of 2020, however, the same is beyond the scope of this writ petition, which is filed to challenge the rejection of parole leave.

3. We find no substance in this writ petition. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI]
JUDGE

[V. K. JADHAV]
JUDGE

S.P. Rane