

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 937 OF 2019

Lalit Avinash Wankhede and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

...Respondents

.....

Shri. S. N. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the petitioners

Shri. S. D. Ghayal, APP for respondent No. 1

Shri. P. D. Patil, Advocate for respondent No. 2

.....

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE

AND

B. U. DEBADWAR, JJ.

DATE : 19th APRIL, 2021

PER COURT : -

01. We have extensively heard the learned Advocate for the petitioners and the learned Advocate on behalf of respondent no.2 - informant. Petitioner no. 1 is the husband. Petitioner nos. 2 and 3 are the parents-in-law of the informant. Petitioner no. 4 is the elder brother-in-law of the informant and petitioner no. 5 is his wife. Petitioner nos. 6 and 7 are married sisters of the husband/petitioner no. 1 and both are residing at different places.

02. We have considered the submissions of the learned Advocates and have perused the petition paper-book. The learned

Advocate for the informant submits, on instructions, that the informant would not oppose this petition to the extent of petitioner nos. 4, 5, 6 and 7. The learned Advocate for the petitioners submits, on instructions, that petitioner nos. 1, 2 and 3 desire to withdraw this petition.

03. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off as withdrawn to the extent of petitioner nos. 1, 2 and 3. Insofar as petitioner nos. 4 to 7 are concerned, this petition is allowed in the light of the statement recorded herein above and the FIR No. 37/2017 registered on 07.07.2017 is quashed to the extent of petitioner nos. 4 to 7. Consequentially, RCC No. 207/2017 shall not proceed to the extent of these petitioners.

[B. U. DEBADWAR]
JUDGE

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE]
JUDGE