

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1142 OF 2021

Deepak s/o Apparao Hipparge .. Petitioner

Versus

The Union of India and ors. .. Respondents

Mr V.D. Gunale, Advocate h/f Mr S.G. Rudrawar, Advocate for petitioner
Mr R.V. Dasalkar, A.G.P. for respondent-State

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : 25th January 2021

PER COURT :

1. Mr Gunale, learned Counsel for petitioner submits that respondents no.2 and 3 are allotting the dealership of retail outlet to respondent no.7. In fact, respondent no.7 has changed the site. He should have been considered for dealership of outlet from Group III instead of Group I, but the same is not done in accordance with the Rules.

2. We have heard learned A.S.G. for respondent no.1 and learned A.G.P. Learned A.G.P. accepts notice for respondents no.4 and 5.

3. The petitioner has not applied for grant of retail outlet to respondents no.2 and 3. The petitioner is unconcerned with the allotment of dealership to any person.

4. In light of above, Writ Petition is dismissed with costs.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)