

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

1035 Writ Petition No.9913 Of 2021

Ramesh Shivajirao Jadhav

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others

.. Respondents

...

Mr Mahesh K. Bhosle, Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr A.R. Kale, AGP for the Respondents – State

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
R.N. LADDHA, JJ.

DATE : 22-09-2021

PER COURT :

1. The affidavit-in-reply is filed by the State. The Committee has already decided the application of the petitioner. The petitioner has also filed appeal before the Sub Divisional Officer against the rejection of Mutation Entry No.2542 and the appeal is *sub judice* before the SDO.

2. In light of that, the prayer made in the writ petition cannot be considered. The petitioner may prosecute the appeal in accordance with law. In that event, all the contentions are kept open.

3. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(R. N. LADDHA)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

...