

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

922 WRIT PETITION NO.7616 OF 2021

SADEQUE ANWAR MUSADDIK MOHIYODDIN SIDDIQUI AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Pramod C. Mayure.

AGP for Respondent/State: Mrs. M. A. Deshpande.

Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar.

...

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.

DATE : 14th July, 2021.

P.C.:

. The petitioners are assailing the approval granted to Respondent Nos.7 to 19.

2 We have heard Mr. Mayure, learned counsel for petitioners and the learned AGP for Respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4. We have also heard Mr. Pulkundwar, learned counsel for Respondent No.2.

3 The petitioners are not in employment. The petitioners are terminated from service. The petitioners have preferred the appeal before the School Tribunal. The same is pending. The petition at the behest of the dismissed employees today would not be tenable.

4 The petitioners are terminated and their appeal before the School Tribunal is pending. In case the petitioners' appeal is allowed and orders are passed for reinstating the petitioners by the competent Court, then the petitioners may agitate with regard to the contentions raised in the present writ petition.

5 The petitioners may request the School Tribunal for hearing their appeal expeditiously.

6 With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition is disposed of.
No costs.

[R. N. LADDHA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

nga